

5/25 9:30 am

MD, AM, LM

Emergency Stream Alteration Permit Review Checklist

- A. Has the Board established a quorum to review an Emergency Stream Alteration Permit. Who else is at this meeting? *yes, Zach L., K Hilt, J Wom's, Jake (Canyon)*
- B. Application Received? *yes* Payment? *forthcoming* *Zach Latham*
- C. What public notice has been completed, if any?
- D. Who owns the property? *Dvashner/Gradner* If the owner is not present, who is their agent? Who will be in responsible charge of the work on-site? *Jake & Zach* *Zach Latham/Chuck Brockway*
- E. Identify the extraordinary circumstances that may favor the granting of the ESAP?
- The river has or is actively trying to change course to a channel outside of the floodway
 - The viability of an irrigation structure or water delivery system is threatened
 - A road or bridge which provides access to homes or businesses is threatened
 - An existing home or building envelope in a platted subdivision is threatened
 - Severe erosion or severe sedimentation of land is threatened
 - A public facility (sewer plant, school, etc.) and/or any other use which would affect the chemical quality of the river is threatened

F. What is the means of streambank stabilization proposed?
*270' linear feet...eroding westward
line bank w/rock @ 1yd per foot*

G. Does this subdivision plat indicate anything specific to this site regarding to floodway, floodplain, or streambanks? If yes, what is pertinent to this emergency streambank stabilization?

no plat.

H. Will adjacent properties be impacted by the proposed streambank stabilization? If any adverse impacts are identified, how will these adverse impacts be mitigated?

BCC requested a revised, reduced armoring proposal.

At this point, the Commissioners should discuss:

- Any of the stream alteration permit Standards of Evaluation they believe pertinent;
- If to be approved, any conditions of approval.
- A motion to approve or deny the ESAP application

If approved:

The permittee should be made aware of other agency approvals necessary (if any) before work can start.

The permittee should be made aware of the follow-up requirements associated with the ESAP ...including that this ESAP streambank stabilization is considered temporary, subject to the submittal of a regular stream alteration permit within 3 months, and implementation of that stream alteration permit by March 31st of next year.

Adjourn the meeting

*See 2nd page for discussion,
deliberation & decision*

Discussion:

o Staff presented aerial imagery, snotel site data & drone footage from 2 days ago.

o Why didn't applicant stabilize bank between 2017 and now? Zach didn't know.

o Jake Gage - log jam is worth watching.

Work would start @ property line & go South.

AM - minimize work?

Rock - to resist erosion

Deliberation: **DECISION**
AM - conditionally approve revised application. less rock, less armoring. All rock must be removed for SAP. SAP must include veg. treatments.
LM - 2nd 3-0

AM - there is loss of property. Wants to minimize work. Future applications should include vegetative treatments. No physical structures or envelopes on this parcel. Buildings are prioritized over open land.
MD - hesitant about putting that much rock in the river. Perhaps wait? Snotel site is indicating substantial melt.
LM - no plat, protecting property, some work should be done.

AM - adrianm @ 10:05 am